

### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING D		NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/925,447 08/10/2001		10/2001	Shunichi Takasu	024446-00004	4596	
32294	7590	07/19/2002				
•		& DEMPSEY L	EXAMINER			
14TH FLOC 8000 TOWE	RS CRESCE		WARD, JOHN A			
TYSONS C	JKNEK, VA	. 22182		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2875			
			DATE MAIL ED: 07/19/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>		Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)	lh				
	•	09/925,447		TAKASU, SHUNICHI	**				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	-	John A. Ward		2875					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		ver sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	s				
Period fo	r Reply								
THE II - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl re to reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, h ly within the statutory will apply and will exp	nowever, may a reply be tim minimum of thirty (30) day: pire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered timety. the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	<u>August 2001</u> .							
2a) <u></u> ☐	, , , ,	his action is no							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
•	ion of Claims								
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)									
•	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.								
, —	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/oion Papers	or election requ	an emem.						
• •	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.							
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		jected to by the Exa	miner.					
10)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be	e held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∏ appı	roved b)⊡ disappr	oved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)区	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
	⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
<b>*</b>	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
	a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
15)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. §§ 12	0 and/or 121.					
Attachme			N [] Interniture Comment	ny (DTO_413) Paper No/c\					
2) Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		Interview Summa  Notice of Informa  Other:	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s). I Patent Application (PTO-	  52)				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/925,447

Art Unit: 2875

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract should be on paragraph. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Regarding claim 1, it is unclear what is meant by the term appropriate position; also does the headlight have or have not an arm.

Claims 2-7 are rejected because their dependency upon claim 1.

As best understood of claims the following rejection is given.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/925,447

Art Unit: 2875

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bradley (US 5,951,141) in view of Lehrer (US 6,290,368).

Regarding claim 1, Bradley discloses a luminance device being that of a headlight 10, having an arc shape ban (figure 1), that can be applied over the head of the wearer (column 3, lines 36-49).

Regarding claims 2 and 4, the headlight has an arm that is attached to one end of the headlight (figure 1), column 3, lines 41-49, teaches how the arm can rotate in such a way that either of the stops in the storing position follow the contour of the head band.

Regarding claim 3, the arm discloses a light source 12 and a switch 34, and column 4, lines 3-6 teaches how the switch is synchronized with the light source 12 for turning it on and off.

Regarding claim 5, figure 1 shows that the arm is telescopic.

Regarding claim 7, figure 1 shows that each end of the headlight have a speaker or headset 30.

Bradley does disclose the light source as being light emitting diodes.

It is desired to use light emitting diodes as an alternative to incandescent lamps because of their longevity of illumination and the smaller amount of current required to illuminate the light emitting diodes compared to an incandescent lamp.

Regarding claim 6, Lehrer discloses a portable light device having a headlight 11 and the light source 12 attached to the headlight is a light emitting diodes 33.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/925,447

Art Unit: 2875

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the headlight of Bradley with the headlight of Lehrer having a light emitting diode in order to provide a reading light device that utilizes LED as taught by Lehrer (abstract).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John A. Ward whose telephone number is 703-305-5157. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 703-305-4939. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0596.

JAW July 15, 2002 Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800